FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

601 NEW JERSEY AVENUE, NW SUITE 9500 WASHINGTON, DC 20001

November 27, 2006

SECRETARY OF LABOR, : MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH : ADMINISTRATION (MSHA) :

:

v. : Docket No. SE 2007-26-M

A.C. No. 09-00267-87157

:

BUTLER SAND COMPANY

BEFORE: Duffy, Chairman; Jordan and Young, Commissioners

ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

This matter arises under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, 30 U.S.C. § 801 et seq. (2000) ("Mine Act"). On October 20, 2006, the Commission received from Butler Sand Company ("Butler") a letter from its assistant manager seeking to reopen a penalty assessment that had become a final order of the Commission pursuant to section 105(a) of the Mine Act, 30 U.S.C. § 815(a).

Under section 105(a) of the Mine Act, an operator who wishes to contest a proposed penalty must notify the Secretary of Labor no later than 30 days after receiving the proposed penalty assessment. If the operator fails to notify the Secretary, the proposed penalty assessment is deemed a final order of the Commission. 30 U.S.C. § 815(a).

On May 9, 2006, the Department of Labor's Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") issued a proposed penalty assessment, A.C. No. 09-00267-87157, to Butler for several citations that Butler received in March 2006. Butler states that its assistant manager met with MSHA representatives on May 12, 2006, to resolve the citations. Butler received the proposed assessment at approximately the same time, but took no action with regard to it because Butler's assistant manager was waiting to hear the results of that conference. In June and August, Butler received from MSHA's Civil Penalty Compliance Office letters regarding the unpaid penalties. Butler states that it contacted MSHA officials regarding the letters. The

Secretary states that she does not oppose Butler's request to reopen the penalty assessment, A.C. No. 09-00267-87157.

We have held that in appropriate circumstances, we possess jurisdiction to reopen uncontested assessments that have become final Commission orders under section 105(a). *Jim Walter Res., Inc.*, 15 FMSHRC 782, 786-89 (May 1993) ("*JWR*"). In evaluating requests to reopen final section 105(a) orders, the Commission has found guidance in Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure under which, for example, a party could be entitled to relief from a final order of the Commission on the basis of inadvertence or mistake. *See* 29 C.F.R. § 2700.1(b) ("the Commission and its Judges shall be guided so far as practicable by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure"); *JWR*, 15 FMSHRC at 787. We have also observed that default is a harsh remedy and that, if the defaulting party can make a showing of good cause for a failure to timely respond, the case may be reopened and appropriate proceedings on the merits permitted. *See Coal Prep. Servs., Inc.*, 17 FMSHRC 1529, 1530 (Sept. 1995).

Having reviewed Butler's request, in the interests of justice, we remand this matter to the Chief Administrative Law Judge for a determination of whether good cause exists for Butler's failure to timely contest the penalty proposal and whether relief from the final order should be granted. If it is determined that such relief is appropriate, this case shall proceed pursuant to the Mine Act and the Commission's Procedural Rules. 29 C.F.R. Part 2700.¹

¹ Butler's letter also appears to request relief from a second proposed assessment, Case No. 000100059. However, Butler has timely contested that penalty assessment; therefore, we do not address it in this order.

Distribution

Dennis Swearingen, Asst. Manager Brown & Watson, Inc. P.O. Box 1890 Butler, GA 31006

W. Christian Schumann, Esq.
Office of the Solicitor
U.S. Department of Labor
1100 Wilson Blvd., 22nd Floor West
Arlington, VA 22209-2247

Chief Administrative Law Judge Robert J. Lesnick Federal Mine Safety & Health Review Commission 601 New Jersey Avenue, N.W., Suite 9500 Washington, D.C. 20001-2021